

ORE RESEARCH & EXPLORATION P/L · ABN 28 006 859 856 37A Hosie St · Bayswater North · VIC · 3153 · AUSTRALIA 61 3 9729 0333 

• 61 3 9729 0333 
• www.ore.com.au 
• www.ore.com.au

# **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS FOR**

# High Grade Copper Ore (Mt Isa Mine, Queensland, Australia) CERTIFIED REFERENCE MATERIAL OREAS 166



COA-754-OREAS166-R1 Printed: 19-Sep-2018

Table 1. Certified Values, SDs, 95% Confidence and Tolerance Limits for OREAS 166.

	Certified	95% Co	nfidence	Tolerand	e Interval
Constituent	Value	Inte	erval	1-α=0.9	9, ρ=0.95
		Low	High	Low	High
Peroxide Fusion					
Copper, Cu (wt.%)	8.75	8.54	8.97	8.53	8.98
Iron, Fe (wt.%)	11.45	11.15	11.75	11.20	11.70
Sulphur, S (wt.%)	11.29	11.04	11.54	11.06	11.51
Calcium oxide, CaO (wt.%)	0.98	0.94	1.01	0.94	1.01
Magnesium oxide, MgO (wt.%)	1.67	1.65	1.69	1.64	1.70
Aluminium oxide, Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (wt.%)	1.34	1.30	1.37	1.29	1.39
Silicon dioxide, SiO <sub>2</sub> (wt.%)	61.4	60.0	62.9	60.0	62.8
Silver, Ag (ppm)	12	8	15	IND	IND
Lead, Pb (ppm)	128	108	148	114	142
Zinc, Zn (ppm)	37	23	51	26	49
Cobalt, Co (ppm)	2077	1989	2165	2023	2131
Acid Digest					
Copper, Cu (wt.%)	8.82	8.62	9.01	8.69	8.95
Iron, Fe (wt.%)	11.38	11.33	11.43	11.19	11.57
Sulphur, S (wt.%)	11.6	10.9	12.3	11.3	11.9
Calcium oxide, CaO (wt.%)	0.98	0.96	1.00	0.96	1.00
Magnesium oxide, MgO (wt.%)	1.67	1.63	1.71	1.63	1.71
Aluminium oxide, Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (wt.%)	1.38	1.34	1.42	1.34	1.42
Silver, Ag (ppm)	10.8	10.3	11.4	10.2	11.4
Lead, Pb (ppm)	140	133	146	134	146
Zinc, Zn (ppm)	37	35	39	34	40
Cobalt, Co (ppm)	1970	1894	2046	1940	2000

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million  $\equiv$  mg/kg  $\equiv$   $\mu$ g/g  $\equiv$  0.0001 wt.%  $\equiv$  1000 ppb, parts per billion.

Note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding

Table 2. Indicative Values for OREAS 166.

Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value
Borate Fus	sion XRF							
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	wt.%	1.38	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	wt.%	16.27	SnO <sub>2</sub>	ppm	12.7
As	ppm	3045	K <sub>2</sub> O	wt.%	0.274	SO <sub>3</sub>	wt.%	29.07
BaO	ppm	27.9	MgO	wt.%	1.67	SrO	ppm	< 12
CaO	wt.%	0.942	MnO	wt.%	0.022	TiO <sub>2</sub>	wt.%	0.041
CI	ppm	50	NiO	ppm	83	V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	ppm	17.9
CoO	ppm	2492	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	wt.%	0.037	ZnO	ppm	24.9
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	ppm	21.9	PbO	ppm	145	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	ppm	27.0
CuO	ppm	110559	SiO <sub>2</sub>	wt.%	62.12			
Thermogra	avimetry							
LOI <sup>1000</sup>	wt.%	7.46						
Laser Abla	tion ICP	-MS						
Ag	ppm	10.0	Hf	ppb	545	Sn	ppm	5.10
As	ppm	3015	Но	ppb	140	Sr	ppm	1.90

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million  $\equiv$  mg/kg  $\equiv$   $\mu$ g/g  $\equiv$  0.0001 wt.%  $\equiv$  1000 ppb, parts per billion. Note: the number of significant figures reported is not a reflection of the level of certainty of stated values. They are instead an artefact of ORE's in-house CRM-specific LIMS.

Table 2. Indicative Values for OREAS 166 continued.

Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value
Laser Abla	ation ICP	-MS						
Ва	ppm	22.5	In	ppm	4.10	Та	ppb	100
Be	ppm	0.80	La	ppm	11.7	Tb	ppb	135
Bi	ppm	34.4	Lu	ppb	30.0	Te	ppb	< 200
Cd	ppm	0.20	Мо	ppm	8.40	Th	ppm	1.32
Се	ppm	19.8	Nb	ppm	1.09	TI	ppm	8.00
Со	ppm	1960	Nd	ppm	8.58	Tm	ppb	40.0
Cr	ppm	34.5	Ni	ppm	69	U	ppm	1.16
Cs	ppm	0.32	Pb	wt.%	0.013	V	ppm	5.30
Cu	ppm	87800	Pr	ppm	2.47	W	ppm	0.48
Dy	ppm	0.71	Rb	ppm	5.58	Y	ppm	4.08
Er	ppm	0.27	Re	ppb	< 10	Yb	ppb	210
Eu	ppb	330	Sb	ppm	21.8	Zn	ppm	25.0
Ga	ppm	1.40	Sc	ppm	< 0.1	Zr	ppm	15.8
Gd	ppm	1.33	Se	ppm	< 5			
Ge	ppb	2825	Sm	ppm	1.91			

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million  $\equiv$  mg/kg  $\equiv$   $\mu$ g/g  $\equiv$  0.0001 wt.%  $\equiv$  1000 ppb, parts per billion. Note: the number of significant figures reported is not a reflection of the level of certainty of stated values. They are instead an artefact of ORE's in-house CRM-specific LIMS.

#### INTRODUCTION

OREAS reference materials are intended to provide a low cost method of evaluating and improving the quality of analysis of geological samples. To the geologist they provide a means of implementing quality control in analytical data sets generated in exploration from the grass roots level through to prospect evaluation, and in grade control at mining operations. To the analyst they provide an effective means of calibrating analytical equipment, assessing new techniques and routinely monitoring in-house procedures.

OREAS reference materials enable users to successfully achieve process control of these tasks because the observed variance from repeated analysis has its origin almost exclusively in the analytical process rather than the reference material itself.

#### SOURCE MATERIAL

OREAS 166 is a high grade copper ore certified reference material (CRM) prepared and certified by Ore Research & Exploration Pth Ltd. The material was sourced from Xstrata's Mt Isa copper ore deposits located near the township of Mt Isa in north-west Queensland. The ore deposits are hosted by brecciated siliceous rock masses within the Urquhart Shale comprising complex and dissociated veins with chalcopyrite, pyrite and pyrrhotite with grades of 3-4% copper. OREAS 166 is one of a suite of seven CRMs characterised for Cu, Fe, S, CaO, MgO, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, Ag, Pb, Zn and Co by both sodium peroxide fusion ICP and 4-acid ICP methods.

# COMMINUTION AND HOMOGENISATION PROCEDURES

The material was prepared in the following manner:

- Drying at 65°C to constant mass;
- · Crushing and screening;
- Multi-stage milling to 100% minus 50 microns;
- Preliminary blending;
- Check assaying;
- Adjustment of grades as necessary;
- Final homogenisation;
- Packaging into 10g units sealed under nitrogen in laminated foil pouches.

# ANALYITICAL PROGRAM

Ten commercial laboratories participated in the analytical program to characterise Cu, Fe, S, CaO, MgO, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, Ag, Pb, Zn and Co by both sodium peroxide fusion ICP and 4acid ICP methods. To maintain anonymity laboratories were randomly designated the letter codes A through J. In some instances laboratories determined one or more analytes using an alternative method to sodium peroxide fusion. These instances include: Lab J where a pyrosulfate fusion for Fe was used, Lab D and Lab H used infra red combustion furnace to determine sulphur and Lab H used lithium borate fusion to determine all analytes except Cu and Zn. Other exceptions include instances where laboratories used an alternative method to 4-acid digest. These include Lab G where a modified agua regia digest was used to determine all analytes and Lab J where an acid digest (non specified) with AAS finish was used for Ag only. All results together with uncorrected means, medians, one sigma standard deviations, relative standard deviations and percent deviation of lab means from the corrected mean of means (PDM<sup>3</sup>) are presented in Tables A2 and A22 (Appendix). The parameter PDM3 is a measure of laboratory accuracy while the relative standard deviation is an effective measure of analytical precision where homogeneity of the test material has been confirmed.

The approximate major and trace element composition of OREAS 166 is provided in Table 2. The analytical methods employed by each laboratory are explained, together with other abbreviations used, in Table A1 (Appendix). Each participating laboratory received 5 samples of 50g each.

Each set of subsamples submitted to each laboratory was taken at regular intervals during packaging of the standard in order to maximise their representation. Laboratories were instructed to assay samples as received.

#### STATISTICAL EVALUATION

#### **Certified Value and Confidence Intervals**

The certified value is the mean of means of accepted replicate values of accepted participating laboratories computed according to the formulae

$$\overline{x}_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{i=1}^{n_i} x_{ij} \qquad \qquad \ddot{x} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \overline{x}_i$$

COA-724-OREAS166-R1 Page: 4 of 20

where,

 $x_{ij}$  is the jth result reported by laboratory i; p is the number of participating laboratories;  $n_i$  is the number of results reported by laboratory i;  $x_i$  is the mean for laboratory i;  $x_i$  is the mean of means.

The confidence intervals are obtained by calculation of the variance ( $\hat{V}$ ) of the consensus value ( $\ddot{x}$ ) (mean of means) and reference to Student's-t distribution with degrees of freedom (p-1).

$$\hat{V}(\ddot{x}) = \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{p} (\overline{x}_i - \ddot{x})^2$$

Confidence Interval = 
$$\ddot{x} \pm t_{1-x/2}(p-1)(\hat{V}(\ddot{x}))^{1/2}$$

where,

 $t_{1-x/2}(p-1)$  is the 1-x/2 fractile of the t-distribution with (p-1) degrees of freedom.

The distribution of the values is assumed to be symmetrical about the mean in the calculation of the confidence interval. The test for rejection of individual outliers from each laboratory data set is based on z scores (rejected if |z| > 2.5) computed from the robust estimators of location and scale, T and S, respectively, according to the formulae

$$S = 1.483 \text{ median } / x_j - \text{ median } (x_i) / j=1....n$$

$$z_i = \frac{x_i - T}{S}$$

where,

*T is the median value in a data set;* 

S is the median of all absolute deviations from the sample median multiplied by 1.483, a correction factor to make the estimator consistent with the usual parameter of a normal distribution.

The z-score test is used in combination with a second method of individual outlier detection that determines the percent deviation of the individual value from the median. Outliers in general are selected on the basis of z-scores > 2.5 and with percent deviations > 1.5%. In certain instances statistician's prerogative has been employed in discriminating outliers.

Each laboratory data set is tested for outlying status based on z-score discrimination and rejected if |z| > 2.5. After individual and lab data set outliers have been eliminated a non-iterative 3 standard deviation filter is applied, with those values lying outside this window also relegated to outlying status.

Individual outliers and, more rarely, laboratory means deemed to be outlying are shown left justified and in bold in the tabulated results (see Appendix) and have been omitted in the determination of certified values.

The magnitude of the confidence interval is inversely proportional to the number of participating laboratories and interlaboratory agreement. It is a measure of the reliability of

the certified value, i.e. the narrower the confidence interval the greater the certainty in the certified value. A 95% confidence interval indicates a 95% probability that the interval includes the true value of the analyte under consideration.

# Indicative (uncertified) values

The indicative (uncertified) values (Table 2) are provided for the major and trace elements determined by oxidising fusion XRF ( $Al_2O_3$  to  $ZrO_2$ ), LOI at 1000°C and laser ablation with ICP-MS (Ag to Zr) and are the means of duplicate assays from Bureau Veritas, Perth. Additional indicative values by other analytical methods are present where the number of laboratories reporting a particular analyte is insufficient (< 5) to support certification or where inter-laboratory consensus is poor.

# Statement of Homogeneity

The standard deviation of each laboratory data set includes error due to both the imprecision of the analytical method employed and to possible inhomogeneity of the material analysed. The standard deviation of the pooled individual analyses of all participating laboratories includes error due to the imprecision of each analytical method, to possible inhomogeneity of the material analysed and, in particular, to deficiencies in accuracy of each analytical method.

In determining tolerance intervals that component of error attributable to measurement inaccuracy was eliminated by transformation of the individual results of each data set to a common mean (the uncorrected grand mean) according to the formula

$$x'_{ij} = x_{ij} - \frac{1}{x_i} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} x_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^{p} n_i}$$

where,

 $x_{ij}$  is the jth raw result reported by laboratoryi;

 $x'_{i\bar{j}}$  is the jth transformed result reported by laboratoryi;

 $n_i$  is the number of results reported by laboratoryi;

 $p\ is\ the\ number\ of\ \ participating\ laboratories;$ 

 $\bar{x}_i$  is the raw mean for laboratoryi.

The homogeneity of each constituent was determined from tables of factors for two-sided tolerance limits for normal distributions (ISO 3207) in which

Lower limit is 
$$\ddot{x} - k'_2(n, p, l - \alpha)s''_g$$
  
Upper limit is  $\ddot{x} + k'_2(n, p, l - \alpha)s''_g$ 

where,

n is the number of results;

 $1-\alpha$  is the confidence level;

p is the proportion of results expected within the tolerance limits;

 $k_2'$  is the factor for two – sided tolerance limits (m,  $\alpha$  unknown);

 $s_g''$  is the corrected grand s tan dard deviation



The meaning of these tolerance limits may be illustrated for Cu by 4-acid digest, where 99% of the time at least 95% of subsamples will have concentrations lying between 0.156 and 0.168 wt.%. Put more precisely, this means that if the same number of subsamples were taken and analysed in the same manner repeatedly, 99% of the tolerance intervals so constructed would cover at least 95% of the total population, and 1% of the tolerance intervals would cover less than 95% of the total population (ISO Guide 35).

The corrected grand standard deviation,  $s_g^{"}$ , used to compute the tolerance intervals is the weighted means of standard deviations of all data sets for a particular constituent according to the formula:

$$s''_{g} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{p} (s_{i}(1 - \frac{s_{i}}{s'_{g}}))}{\sum_{i=1}^{p} (1 - \frac{s_{i}}{s'_{g}})}$$

where,

1-( 
$$\frac{s_i}{2s_g'}$$
 ) is the weighting factor for laboratoryi;

 $s_{_{g}}^{\prime}$  is the grand standard deviation computed from the transformed (i.e. means -adjusted) results

according to the formula

$$s'_{g} = \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=j}^{p} \sum_{j=i}^{n_{i}} (x'_{ij} - \overline{x}'_{i})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{p} n_{i} - I} \right]^{1/2}$$

where  $\bar{x}'_i$  is the transformed mean for laboratory i

The weighting factors were applied to compensate for the considerable variation in analytical precision amongst participating laboratories. Hence, weighting factors for each data set have been constructed so as to be inversely proportional to the standard deviation of that data set. It should be noted that estimates of tolerance by this method are considered conservative as a significant proportion of the observed variance, even in those laboratories exhibiting the best analytical precision, can presumably be attributed to measurement error.

#### **Performance Gates**

Performance gates provide an indication of a level of performance that might reasonably be expected from a laboratory being monitored by this CRM in a QA/QC program. They take into account errors attributable to measurement and CRM variability. For an effective CRM the contribution of the latter should be negligible in comparison to measurement errors. Sources of measurement error include inter-lab bias, analytical precision (repeatability) and inter-batch bias (reproducibility).

Two methods have been employed to calculate performance gates. The first method uses the same filtered data set used to determine the certified value, i.e. after removal of all individual, lab dataset (batch) and 3SD outliers. These outliers can only be removed after the absolute homogeneity of the CRM has been independently established, i.e. the

outliers must be confidently deemed to be analytical rather than arising from inhomogeneity of the CRM. The standard deviation is then calculated for each analyte from the pooled individual analyses generated from the certification program. Table 3 shows performance gates calculated for two and three standard deviations. As a guide these intervals may be regarded as warning or rejection for multiple 2SD outliers, or rejection for individual 3SD outliers in QC monitoring, although their precise application should be at the discretion of the QC manager concerned.

A second method utilises a 5% window calculated directly from the certified value. Standard deviation is also shown in relative percent for one, two and three relative standard deviations (1RSD, 2RSD and 3RSD) to facilitate an appreciation of the magnitude of these numbers and a comparison with the 5% window. Caution should be exercised when concentration levels approach lower limits of detection of the analytical methods employed as performance gates calculated from standard deviations tend to be excessively wide whereas those determined by the 5% method are too narrow.

Table 3. Performance Gates for OREAS 166.

Constituent	Certified	1SD	2SD w	rindow	3SD w	vindow		tive Stan		5% window	
	Value		Low	High	Low	High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
Peroxide Fusion											
Copper, Cu (wt.%)	8.75	0.35	8.05	9.46	7.69	9.82	4.04%	8.09%	12.1%	8.32	9.19
Iron, Fe (wt.%)	11.45	0.43	10.58	12.32	10.15	12.75	3.78%	7.57%	11.3%	10.88	12.02
Sulphur, S (wt.%)	11.29	0.33	10.63	11.95	10.29	12.28	2.93%	5.86%	8.79%	10.72	11.85
Calcium oxide, CaO (wt.%)	0.98	0.05	0.87	1.08	0.82	1.13	5.26%	10.5%	15.8%	0.93	1.02
Magnesium oxide, MgO (wt.%)	1.67	0.04	1.60	1.74	1.56	1.78	2.16%	4.32%	6.48%	1.58	1.75
Aluminium oxide, Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (wt.%)	1.34	0.05	1.25	1.43	1.20	1.47	3.37%	6.74%	10.1%	1.27	1.40
Silicon dioxide, SiO <sub>2</sub> (wt.%)	61.4	2.0	57.4	65.5	55.4	67.5	3.28%	6.56%	9.83%	58.4	64.5
Silver, Ag (ppm)	12	1	9	14	7	16	11.6%	23.3%	34.9%	11	12
Lead, Pb (ppm)	128	27	75	181	48	208	20.8%	41.6%	62.3%	122	135
Zinc, Zn (ppm)	37	10	17	58	7	68	27.2%	54.3%	81.5%	36	39
Cobalt, Co (ppm)	2077	142	1793	2362	1651	2504	6.85%	13.7%	20.5%	1973	2181
Acid Digest											
Copper, Cu (wt.%)	8.82	0.27	8.28	9.36	8.01	9.63	3.08%	6.15%	9.23%	8.38	9.26
Iron, Fe (wt.%)	11.38	0.19	11.00	11.76	10.81	11.95	1.66%	3.32%	4.98%	10.81	11.95
Sulphur, S (wt.%)	11.6	0.6	10.3	12.9	9.7	13.6	5.57%	11.1%	16.7%	11.0	12.2
Calcium oxide, CaO (wt.%)	0.98	0.03	0.92	1.04	0.89	1.07	2.99%	5.99%	8.98%	0.93	1.03
Magnesium oxide, MgO (wt.%)	1.67	0.05	1.56	1.77	1.51	1.82	3.12%	6.23%	9.35%	1.59	1.75
Aluminium oxide, Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (wt.%)	1.38	0.05	1.27	1.48	1.22	1.54	3.84%	7.67%	11.5%	1.31	1.45
Silver, Ag (ppm)	10.8	0.7	9.4	12.3	8.7	13.0	6.70%	13.4%	20.1%	10.3	11.4
Lead, Pb (ppm)	140	9	123	157	114	165	6.12%	12.2%	18.4%	133	147
Zinc, Zn (ppm)	37	2	33	41	31	43	5.63%	11.3%	16.9%	35	39
Cobalt, Co (ppm)	1970	108	1753	2186	1645	2295	5.50%	11.0%	16.5%	1871	2068

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million  $\equiv$  mg/kg  $\equiv$   $\mu$ g/g  $\equiv$  0.0001 wt.%  $\equiv$  1000 ppb, parts per billion. Note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

# PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES

- 1. Acme Analytical Laboratories Ltd, Vancouver, BC, Canada
- 2. Activation Laboratories, Ancaster, ONtario, Canada
- 3. Activation Laboratories, Perth, WA, Australia
- 4. ALS Chemex, Brisbane, QLD, Australia
- 5. ALS Chemex, Vancouver, BC, Canada
- 6. Amdel Laboratories, Perth, WA, Australia
- 7. Bureau Veritas (Ultra Trace) Geoanalytical, Perth, WA, Australia
- 8. Genalysis Laboratory Services Pty Ltd, Perth, WA, Australia
- 9. SGS Mineral Services Australia, Perth, WA, Australia
- 10. SGS Mineral Services, Lakefield, ON, Canada

#### PREPARER AND SUPPLIER OF THE REFERENCE MATERIAL

Reference material OREAS 166 has been prepared and certified and is supplied by:



ORE Research & Exploration Pty Ltd

Tel: +613-9729 0333
37A Hosie Street

Fax: +613-9729 8338
Bayswater North VIC 3153

Web: www.ore.com.au
AUSTRALIA

Email: info@ore.com.au

OREAS 166 is available in 10g units sealed in nitrogen-purged laminated foil pouches.

#### INTENDED USE

OREAS 166 is a reference material intended for the following:

- i) For the monitoring of laboratory performance in the analysis of Cu, Fe, S, cao, mgo, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, sio<sub>2</sub>, Ag, Pb, Zn and Co in geological samples;
- ii) For the calibration of instruments used in the determination of the concentration of Cu, Fe, S, cao, mgo, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, sio<sub>2</sub>, Ag, Pb, Zn and Co;
- iii) For the verification of analytical methods for Cu, Fe, S, CaO, MgO, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, Ag, Pb, Zn and Co.

# STABILITY AND STORAGE INSTRUCTIONS

OREAS 166 is a reference material made from high grade copper ore material from the Mt Isa Copper Operations. In its unopened state in the nitrogen-purged laminated foil pouches and under normal conditions of storage it has a shelf life beyond five years.

# HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS

Fine powders pose a risk to eyes and lungs and therefore standard precautions such as the use of safety glasses and dust masks are advised.

# INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE CORRECT USE

The certified values for OREAS 166 refer to the concentration level of Cu, Fe, S, CaO, MgO, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, Ag, Pb, Zn and Co in its packaged state. The CRM should not be dried prior to weighing and analysis.

# METROLOGICAL TRACEABILITY

The analytical samples were selected in a manner to represent the entire batch of prepared CRM. This 'representivity' was maintained in each submitted laboratory sample batch and ensures the user that the data is traceable from sample selection through to the analytical results that underlie the consensus values. Each analytical data set has been validated by its assayer through the inclusion of internal reference materials and QC checks during analysis.

The laboratories were chosen on the basis of their competence (from past performance in inter-laboratory programs undertaken by ORE Pty Ltd) for a particular analytical method, analyte or analyte suite, and sample matrix. Most of these laboratories have and maintain ISO 17025 accreditation. The certified values presented in this report are calculated from the means of accepted data following robust statistical treatment as detailed in this report.

Guide ISO/TR 16476:2016, section 5.3.1 describes metrological traceability in reference materials as it pertains to the transformation of the measurand. In this section it states, "Although the determination of the property value itself can be made traceable to appropriate units through, for example, calibration of the measurement equipment used. steps like the transformation of the sample from one physical (chemical) state to another cannot. Such transformations may only be compared with a reference (when available), or among themselves. For some transformations, reference methods have been defined and may be used in certification projects to evaluate the uncertainty associated with such a transformation. In other cases, only a comparison among different laboratories using the same method is possible. In this case, certification takes place on the basis of agreement among independent measurement results (see ISO Guide 35:2006, Clause 10)."

## COMMUTABILITY

The measurements of the results that underlie the certified values contained in this report were undertaken by methods involving pre-treatment (digestion/fusion) of the sample. This served to reduce the sample to a simple and well understood form permitting calibration using simple solutions of the CRM. Due to these methods being well understood and highly effective, commutability is not an issue for this CRM. All OREAS CRMs are sourced from natural ore minerals meaning they will display similar behaviour as routine 'field' samples in the relevant measurement process. Care should be taken to ensure 'matrix matching' as close as practically achievable. The matrix and mineralisation style of the CRM is described in the 'Source Material' section and users should select appropriate CRMs matching these attributes to their field samples.

COA-724-OREAS166-R1 Page: 10 of 20



#### LEGAL NOTICE

Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd has prepared and statistically evaluated the property values of this reference material to the best of its ability. The Purchaser by receipt hereof releases and indemnifies Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd from and against all liability and costs arising from the use of this material and information.

### **DOCUMENT HISTORY**

Revision No	Date	Changes applied
1	19 <sup>th</sup> Sep, 2018	Added major and trace element characterisation.
0	7 <sup>th</sup> Aug, 2012	First publication.

# **QMS ACCREDITED**

ORE Pty Ltd is accredited to ISO 9001:2015 by Lloyd's Register Quality Assurance Ltd for its quality management system including development, manufacturing, certification and supply of CRMs.





# **CERTIFYING OFFICER**

Craig Hamlyn (B.Sc. Hons - Geology), Technical Manager - ORE P/L

#### **REFERENCES**

ISO Guide 3207 (1975), Statistical interpretation of data - Determination of a statistical tolerance interval.

ISO Guide 30 (2015), Terms and definitions used in connection with reference materials.

ISO Guide 31 (2015), Reference materials – Contents of certificates and labels.

ISO Guide 35 (2017), Certification of reference materials - General and statistical principals.

# APPENDIX Analytical Data for OREAS 166

Table A1. Explanation of abbreviations used in Tables A2 – A11.

Abbreviation	Explanation
Std.Dev.	one standard deviation
Rel.Std.Dev.	one relative standard deviation (%)
PDM <sup>3</sup>	percent deviation of lab mean from corrected mean of means
NR	not reported
AD	acid digest (unspecified)
4A	four acid digest (HF-HNO <sub>3</sub> -HClO <sub>4</sub> -HCl)
MAR	modified aqua regia digest
PF	sodium peroxide fusion
PSF	pyrosulfate fusion
XRF	x-ray fluorescence
AAS	atomic absorption spectrometry
OES	inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry
MS	inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry
ICP	unspecified/combination of ICP-OES and ICP-MS

Table A2. Fusion results for Cu in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate	Lab									
No.	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	1	J
	PF*ICP	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*MS	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*ICP	PF*OES
1	9.27	9.10	8.72	7.75	8.27	8.56	8.82	8.48	9.29	8.90
2	9.31	9.29	8.76	8.83	8.41	8.68	8.70	8.58	9.24	9.20
3	9.18	9.27	8.59	8.62	8.46	8.36	8.99	8.86	9.25	8.70
4	8.66	9.11	8.62	8.20	8.32	8.55	8.66	8.53	9.15	8.60
5	8.48	8.96	8.54	8.25	8.51	8.57	8.92	8.56	9.13	9.10
Mean	8.98	9.14	8.65	8.33	8.39	8.54	8.82	8.60	9.21	8.90
Median	9.18	9.11	8.62	8.25	8.41	8.56	8.82	8.56	9.24	8.90
Std.Dev.	0.383	0.137	0.092	0.416	0.099	0.115	0.140	0.149	0.071	0.255
Rel.Std.Dev.	4.26%	1.50%	1.06%	5.0%	1.18%	1.35%	1.59%	1.73%	0.77%	2.86%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	2.57%	4.45%	-1.24%	-4.85%	-4.12%	-2.41%	0.70%	-1.75%	5.21%	1.66%

Table A3. Fusion results for Fe in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate	Lab									
No.	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J
	PF*ICP	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	BF*OES	PF*ICP	PSF*XRF
1	11.0	12.2	12.0	11.7	10.6	11.3	11.6	11.5	11.8	11.1
2	11.0	12.5	11.9	11.3	11.0	11.2	11.2	11.4	11.6	11.0
3	11.0	12.4	11.4	11.7	11.3	10.8	11.8	11.3	11.9	11.2
4	11.0	12.3	11.4	11.2	11.0	10.9	11.8	11.6	11.8	11.2
5	11.1	12.3	11.7	11.1	11.0	11.0	11.6	11.6	11.8	11.2
Mean	11.0	12.3	11.7	11.4	11.0	11.0	11.6	11.5	11.8	11.1
Median	11.0	12.3	11.7	11.3	11.0	11.0	11.6	11.5	11.8	11.2
Std.Dev.	0.045	0.092	0.277	0.283	0.249	0.202	0.240	0.122	0.116	0.09
Rel.Std.Dev.	0.41%	0.75%	2.38%	2.48%	2.3%	1.83%	2.07%	1.06%	0.99%	0.80%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	-3.76%	7.72%	2.01%	-0.44%	-4.19%	-3.76%	1.41%	0.22%	2.69%	-2.71%

Table A4. Fusion results for S in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate	Lab									
No.	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J
	PF*ICP	PF*OES	PF*OES	IRC	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	IRC	PF*ICP	PF*OES
1	11.4	12.4	12.0	11.0	11.6	11.1	10.8	11.2	11.1	11.8
2	11.4	12.8	11.2	11.0	12.2	11.5	10.8	11.3	11.0	11.2
3	11.4	12.4	11.6	11.0	12.6	11.2	10.8	11.3	11.0	11.1
4	11.4	12.1	11.7	11.2	12.0	11.1	11.0	11.3	11.2	11.5
5	11.2	12.3	11.6	11.1	12.0	11.2	10.9	11.2	11.0	10.9
Mean	11.4	12.4	11.6	11.1	12.1	11.2	10.9	11.2	11.0	11.3
Median	11.4	12.4	11.6	11.0	12.0	11.2	10.8	11.3	11.0	11.2
Std.Dev.	0.089	0.24	0.29	0.089	0.36	0.16	0.09	0.067	0.08	0.35
Rel.Std.Dev.	0.79%	1.90%	2.46%	0.81%	3.01%	1.47%	0.82%	0.59%	0.73%	3.13%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	0.65%	9.94%	2.96%	-2.00%	6.86%	-1.03%	-3.85%	-0.43%	-2.20%	0.12%

Table A5. Fusion results for CaO in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate	Lab									
No.	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	1	J
	PF*ICP	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	BF*OES	PF*ICP	PF*OES
1	0.92	0.90	1.10	1.00	0.97	0.88	0.80	0.98	0.98	NR
2	0.93	1.00	1.10	1.10	0.98	0.92	0.76	0.97	0.98	NR
3	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.03	0.89	0.71	0.98	0.96	NR
4	0.94	0.90	1.00	1.00	0.99	0.96	0.79	0.99	0.99	NR
5	0.94	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.97	0.91	0.81	0.99	1.05	NR
Mean	0.94	0.96	1.04	1.02	0.99	0.91	0.77	0.98	0.99	
Median	0.94	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.98	0.91	0.79	0.98	0.98	
Std.Dev.	0.011	0.055	0.055	0.045	0.025	0.031	0.043	0.008	0.034	
Rel.Std.Dev.	1.22%	5.71%	5.27%	4.38%	2.52%	3.42%	5.54%	0.85%	3.45%	
PDM <sup>3</sup>	-4.06%	-1.60%	6.60%	4.55%	1.27%	-6.52%	-20.7%	0.65%	1.68%	

Table A6. Fusion results for MgO in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate	Lab									
No.	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
	PF*ICP	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	BF*OES	PF*ICP	PF*OES
1	1.67	1.67	1.76	1.75	1.51	1.55	1.63	1.64	1.56	1.60
2	1.69	1.69	1.64	1.69	1.57	1.58	1.65	1.64	1.63	1.60
3	1.67	1.71	1.71	1.68	1.61	1.55	1.67	1.67	1.61	1.70
4	1.67	1.67	1.74	1.68	1.56	1.57	1.65	1.66	1.69	1.70
5	1.69	1.67	1.64	1.61	1.54	1.55	1.66	1.71	1.62	1.70
Mean	1.68	1.68	1.70	1.68	1.56	1.56	1.65	1.66	1.62	1.66
Median	1.67	1.67	1.71	1.68	1.56	1.55	1.65	1.66	1.62	1.70
Std.Dev.	0.011	0.015	0.056	0.050	0.037	0.014	0.013	0.029	0.047	0.055
Rel.Std.Dev.	0.65%	0.88%	3.29%	2.95%	2.38%	0.91%	0.81%	1.73%	2.87%	3.30%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	0.62%	1.02%	1.82%	0.86%	-6.58%	-6.46%	-0.84%	-0.22%	-2.74%	-0.46%

Table A7. Fusion results for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate	Lab									
No.	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	1	J
	PF*ICP	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	BF*OES	PF*ICP	PF*OES
1	1.40	1.30	1.40	1.60	1.23	1.31	1.09	1.39	1.37	1.30
2	1.41	1.32	1.32	1.50	1.27	1.31	1.19	1.38	1.33	1.30
3	1.39	1.34	1.32	1.50	1.31	1.33	1.18	1.38	1.35	1.30
4	1.39	1.30	1.36	1.50	1.27	1.35	1.16	1.38	1.42	1.30
5	1.36	1.30	1.38	1.50	1.27	1.33	1.19	1.42	1.36	1.30
Mean	1.39	1.32	1.36	1.52	1.27	1.33	1.16	1.39	1.37	1.30
Median	1.39	1.30	1.36	1.50	1.27	1.33	1.18	1.38	1.36	1.30
Std.Dev.	0.019	0.017	0.036	0.045	0.028	0.017	0.042	0.017	0.034	0.000
Rel.Std.Dev.	1.35%	1.29%	2.64%	2.94%	2.23%	1.26%	3.60%	1.25%	2.46%	0.00%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	3.93%	-1.67%	1.39%	13.6%	-5.04%	-0.86%	-13.3%	3.93%	2.13%	-2.80%

Table A8. Fusion results for  $SiO_2$  in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate	Lab									
No.	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	1	J
	PF*ICP	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	BF*OES	PF*ICP	PF*OES
1	64.7	62.0	61.2	69.0	57.8	62.0	58.0	60.1	61.2	64.0
2	64.9	62.9	61.0	72.9	59.7	61.8	56.8	60.5	61.9	66.0
3	57.0	62.8	62.7	72.5	61.5	59.3	58.9	60.6	62.0	63.0
4	61.6	62.2	61.8	74.6	60.0	61.2	58.3	60.1	62.6	62.0
5	64.1	61.7	62.0	71.1	59.1	60.1	58.9	60.7	61.8	65.0
Mean	62.4	62.3	61.7	72.0	59.6	60.9	58.2	60.4	61.9	64.0
Median	64.1	62.2	61.8	72.5	59.7	61.2	58.3	60.5	61.9	64.0
Std.Dev.	3.35	0.52	0.68	2.10	1.35	1.15	0.86	0.27	0.49	1.58
Rel.Std.Dev.	5.37%	0.83%	1.10%	2.91%	2.26%	1.89%	1.48%	0.45%	0.79%	2.47%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	1.65%	1.45%	0.50%	17.2%	-2.95%	-0.90%	-5.31%	-1.66%	0.78%	4.18%

Table A9. Fusion results for Ag in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in ppm).

Replicate	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab
No.	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	1	J
	PF*ICP	•	PF*MS	PF*MS	-	-	PF*OES	•	-	-
1	<10	NR	10.0	12.0	NR	NR	12.1	NR	NR	NR
2	<10	NR	10.0	11.0	NR	NR	13.6	NR	NR	NR
3	<10	NR	10.0	12.0	NR	NR	13.5	NR	NR	NR
4	<10	NR	10.0	11.0	NR	NR	11.9	NR	NR	NR
5	<10	NR	10.0	12.0	NR	NR	13.4	NR	NR	NR
Mean			10.0	11.6			12.9			
Median			10.0	12.0			13.4			
Std.Dev.			0.000	0.548			0.828			
Rel.Std.Dev.			0.00%	4.72%			6.42%			
PDM <sup>3</sup>			-13.0%	0.87%			12.2%			

Table A10. Fusion results for Pb in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in ppm).

Replicate	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab
No.	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	1	J
	PF*ICP	PF*OES	PF*MS	PF*OES	PF*MS	PF*OES	PF*OES	·	PF*ICP	PF*OES
1	200	128	160	220	127	100	<50	NR	200	110
2	100	129	150	210	129	<100	<50	NR	200	120
3	100	129	150	210	130	<100	<50	NR	200	110
4	200	135	160	210	132	<100	<50	NR	100	110
5	100	135	150	210	129	<100	<50	NR	200	120
Mean	140	131	154	212	129	100			180	114
Median	100	129	150	210	129	100			200	110
Std.Dev.	54.8	3.49	5.48	4.47	1.82				44.7	5.48
Rel.Std.Dev.	39.1%	2.66%	3.56%	2.11%	1.40%				24.8%	4.80%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	9.29%	2.42%	20.2%	65.5%	1.01%	-21.9%			40.5%	-11.0%

Table A11. Fusion results for Zn in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in ppm).

Replicate	Lab									
No.	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	1	J
	PF*ICP	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*MS	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*ICP	PF*OES
1	<100	24	50	100	32	<100	71	<100	<100	34
2	<100	32	50	110	51	<100	88	<100	<100	<30
3	<100	28	50	110	23	<100	114	<100	<100	36
4	<100	34	<50	100	45	<100	86	<100	<100	36
5	<100	46	<50	100	24	<100	112	<100	<100	50
Mean		33	50	104	35		94			39
Median		32	50	100	32		88			36
Std.Dev.		8.32	0.00	5.48	12.5		18.4			7.39
Rel.Std.Dev.		25.4%	0.00%	5.27%	35.9%		19.5%			19.0%
PDM <sup>3</sup>		-12.4%	33.5%	178%	-6.56%		151%			4.12%

Table A12. Fusion results for Co in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in ppm).

TUDIO / (12. 1	40101110			, 100 (	200101141	<u> </u>	1 4010 7 11	, raidoo ii	· PP	
Replicate	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab
No.	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J
	PF*ICP	PF*MS	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*MS	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*OES	PF*ICP	PF*OES
1	2180	1940	2140	2230	2050	2010	1890	2391	2030	1900
2	2170	1918	2000	2090	2070	2000	2027	2313	2020	1900
3	2180	1913	2060	2030	2020	1970	2392	1995	2050	1900
4	2170	1929	2120	2280	2050	1990	2395	2397	2060	1900
5	2190	1924	2080	2210	2010	2000	2103	2069	2010	1900
Mean	2178	1925	2080	2168	2040	1994	2161	2233	2034	1900
Median	2180	1924	2080	2210	2050	2000	2103	2313	2030	1900
Std.Dev.	8	10	55	104	24	15	225	188	21	0
Rel.Std.Dev.	0.38%	0.54%	2.63%	4.80%	1.20%	0.76%	10.4%	8.44%	1.02%	0.00%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	4.85%	-7.34%	0.13%	4.37%	-1.79%	-4.01%	4.05%	7.49%	-2.08%	-8.53%

Table A13. 4-acid results for Cu in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab						
No.	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	1	J
	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	MAR*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES
1	8.81	10.19	8.59	NR	8.80	8.75	8.64	8.40	9.16	8.70
2	8.85	9.40	8.72	8.82	8.73	8.59	8.63	8.48	9.24	8.90
3	8.81	9.34	8.59	NR	8.70	8.61	8.56	8.73	9.25	9.10
4	8.95	9.00	8.70	9.10	8.58	8.54	8.63	8.32	9.23	9.00
5	9.02	9.24	8.71	8.89	8.64	8.67	8.65	8.33	9.20	8.90
Mean	8.89	9.43	8.66	8.94	8.69	8.63	8.62	8.45	9.22	8.92
Median	8.85	9.34	8.70	8.89	8.70	8.61	8.63	8.40	9.23	8.90
Std.Dev.	0.09	0.45	0.07	0.15	0.08	0.08	0.03	0.17	0.04	0.15
Rel.Std.Dev.	1.05%	4.78%	0.76%	1.63%	0.97%	0.94%	0.40%	1.96%	0.40%	1.66%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	0.78%	6.95%	-1.79%	1.33%	-1.47%	-2.13%	-2.22%	-4.17%	4.50%	1.14%

Table A14. 4-acid results for Fe in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab
No.	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J
	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*MS	4A*OES	MAR*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES
1	11.40	13.33	11.60	11.90	10.90	11.50	11.01	11.29	11.40	12.00
2	11.40	12.25	12.00	11.20	11.40	11.30	10.85	11.51	11.30	12.00
3	11.40	12.01	11.50	11.60	12.05	11.40	11.10	11.38	11.30	12.00
4	11.50	11.76	11.90	11.10	11.60	11.15	11.03	11.58	11.40	11.00
5	11.50	11.92	11.70	11.40	11.45	11.40	11.01	11.07	11.30	12.00
Mean	11.44	12.25	11.74	11.44	11.48	11.35	11.00	11.37	11.34	11.80
Median	11.40	12.01	11.70	11.40	11.45	11.40	11.01	11.38	11.30	12.00
Std.Dev.	0.05	0.63	0.21	0.32	0.41	0.13	0.09	0.20	0.05	0.45
Rel.Std.Dev.	0.48%	5.12%	1.77%	2.81%	3.60%	1.17%	0.83%	1.76%	0.48%	3.79%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	0.54%	7.69%	3.17%	0.54%	0.89%	-0.25%	-3.33%	-0.11%	-0.34%	3.70%

Table A15. 4-acid results for S in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab
No.	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	1	J
	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*MS	4A*OES	MAR*OES	-	4A*OES	-
1	12.50	11.39	11.30	>5	>10.0	11.00	12.05	NR	11.90	NR
2	12.70	11.59	11.50	>5	>10.0	10.70	11.91	NR	12.00	NR
3	12.60	11.03	11.30	>5	>10.0	10.60	11.81	NR	11.80	NR
4	12.70	11.17	11.70	>5	>10.0	10.40	11.97	NR	11.70	NR
5	12.60	11.24	11.40	>5	>10.0	10.40	12.00	NR	11.80	NR
Mean	12.62	11.28	11.44			10.62	11.95		11.84	
Median	12.60	11.24	11.40			10.60	11.97		11.80	
Std.Dev.	0.08	0.21	0.17			0.25	0.09		0.11	
Rel.Std.Dev.	0.66%	1.90%	1.46%			2.34%	0.76%		0.96%	
PDM <sup>3</sup>	8.56%	-2.94%	-1.59%			-8.65%	2.77%		1.85%	

Table A16. 4-acid results for CaO in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab
No.	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	1	J
	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*MS	4A*OES	MAR*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES
1	0.96	0.97	0.99	0.98	0.97	0.96	0.96	0.97	1.01	1.20
2	0.95	1.01	1.02	0.94	0.99	0.94	0.95	0.99	1.01	1.10
3	0.95	1.04	0.99	0.95	1.05	0.95	0.95	0.99	1.01	1.10
4	0.96	1.02	1.02	1.00	1.02	0.93	0.96	0.98	1.02	1.20
5	0.96	1.01	1.01	0.98	1.01	0.95	0.96	0.97	1.01	1.10
Mean	0.96	1.01	1.01	0.97	1.01	0.95	0.96	0.98	1.01	1.14
Median	0.96	1.01	1.01	0.98	1.01	0.95	0.96	0.98	1.01	1.10
Std.Dev.	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.05
Rel.Std.Dev.	0.57%	2.60%	1.51%	2.24%	3.01%	1.21%	0.57%	1.43%	0.62%	4.80%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	-2.67%	2.56%	2.42%	-1.29%	2.62%	-3.69%	-2.51%	-0.29%	2.85%	16.1%

Table A17. 4-acid results for MgO in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab						
No.	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	1	J
	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*MS	4A*OES	MAR*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES
1	1.71	1.71	1.69	1.70	1.53	1.52	1.44	1.64	1.69	1.70
2	1.70	1.76	1.71	1.58	1.58	1.49	1.44	1.69	1.67	1.60
3	1.70	1.76	1.64	1.68	1.67	1.51	1.42	1.67	1.69	1.60
4	1.71	1.72	1.71	1.67	1.63	1.48	1.44	1.67	1.71	1.60
5	1.72	1.72	1.66	1.64	1.59	1.51	1.44	1.64	1.67	1.60
Mean	1.71	1.73	1.68	1.65	1.60	1.50	1.43	1.66	1.69	1.62
Median	1.71	1.72	1.69	1.67	1.59	1.51	1.44	1.67	1.69	1.60
Std.Dev.	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.04
Rel.Std.Dev.	0.49%	1.28%	1.85%	2.82%	3.31%	1.09%	0.76%	1.34%	0.82%	2.76%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	2.34%	3.92%	0.79%	-0.89%	-4.13%	-10.0%	-14.0%	-0.25%	1.14%	-2.93%

Table A18. 4-acid results for  $Al_2O_3$  in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab						
No.	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	1	J
	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*MS	4A*OES	MAR*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES
1	0.69	1.36	1.36	1.46	1.30	1.30	0.78	1.40	1.36	1.40
2	0.71	1.42	1.36	1.35	1.36	1.27	0.77	1.44	1.38	1.40
3	0.72	1.40	1.34	1.45	1.42	1.29	0.77	1.42	1.40	1.40
4	0.69	1.36	1.38	1.44	1.38	1.26	0.78	1.45	1.40	1.30
5	0.71	1.36	1.36	1.47	1.36	1.29	0.77	1.40	1.40	1.40
Mean	0.70	1.38	1.36	1.43	1.36	1.28	0.77	1.42	1.39	1.38
Median	0.71	1.36	1.36	1.45	1.36	1.29	0.77	1.42	1.40	1.40
Std.Dev.	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.04
Rel.Std.Dev.	1.91%	1.94%	1.04%	3.37%	3.18%	1.28%	0.88%	1.73%	1.22%	3.24%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	-48.9%	0.06%	-1.34%	4.02%	-1.05%	-7.00%	-43.9%	3.07%	0.61%	0.11%

Table A19. 4-acid results for Ag in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in ppm).

Replicate	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab
No.	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	1	J
	4A*OES	4A*MS	4A*MS	4A*OES	4A*MS	4A*OES	MAR*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	3A*AAS
1	10.2	10.0	10.5	11.1	11.8	12.0	10.8	12.0	16.3	10.0
2	10.3	11.0	11.0	10.7	12.2	12.0	10.9	15.0	16.3	9.9
3	10.6	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.8	12.0	10.2	13.0	16.1	10.1
4	10.4	10.0	11.0	11.2	12.4	11.0	10.0	12.0	15.7	10.4
5	10.6	10.0	11.0	10.8	12.4	10.0	10.3	13.0	15.9	10.2
Mean	10.4	10.4	10.9	11.0	12.1	11.4	10.4	13.0	16.1	10.1
Median	10.4	10.0	11.0	11.0	12.2	12.0	10.3	13.0	16.1	10.1
Std.Dev.	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.9	0.4	1.2	0.3	0.2
Rel.Std.Dev.	1.72%	5.27%	2.05%	1.89%	2.41%	7.85%	3.75%	9.42%	1.62%	1.90%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	-3.87%	-4.06%	0.55%	1.11%	11.4%	5.17%	-3.69%	19.9%	48.2%	-6.64%

Table A20. 4-acid results for Pb in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in ppm).

Replicate	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab
No.	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	1	J
	4A*OES	4A*MS	4A*MS	4A*OES	4A*MS	4A*OES	MAR*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES
1	130	140	148	191	133	110	200	<200	222	130
2	160	148	140	190	136	110	200	<200	204	140
3	130	144	137	203	141	110	190	<200	207	130
4	160	144	140	193	137	110	200	<200	211	140
5	190	145	137	194	135	100	200	<200	208	130
Mean	154	144	140	194	136	108	198		210	134
Median	160	144	140	193	136	110	200		208	130
Std.Dev.	25	3	5	5	3	4	4		7	5
Rel.Std.Dev.	16.3%	1.99%	3.21%	2.66%	2.27%	4.14%	2.26%		3.30%	4.09%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	10.2%	3.21%	0.49%	39.0%	-2.52%	-22.7%	41.7%		50.6%	-4.09%

Table A21. 4-acid results for Zn in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in ppm).

Replicate	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab						
No.	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	1	J
	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*MS	4A*OES	MAR*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES
1	37	66	40	39	34	30	<50	<100	37	34
2	37	55	40	34	35	30	<50	<100	39	37
3	38	60	38	37	38	30	<50	<100	38	33
4	37	54	38	35	36	20	<50	<100	39	35
5	38	60	38	39	35	20	<50	<100	41	34
Mean	37	59	39	37	36	26			39	35
Median	37	60	38	37	35	30			39	34
Std.Dev.	1	5	1	2	2	5			1	2
Rel.Std.Dev.	1.46%	8.13%	2.82%	6.20%	4.26%	21.1%			3.82%	4.38%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	1.08%	59.5%	4.86%	-0.54%	-3.78%	-29.7%			4.86%	-6.49%

Table A22. 4-acid results for Co in OREAS 166 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in ppm).

Replicate	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab	Lab
No.	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J
	4A*OES	4A*MS	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*MS	4A*OES	MAR*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES	4A*OES
1	2090	1967	2050	2100	1880	1890	1886	1970	1770	2000
2	2070	2016	2080	2080	1950	1860	1857	1950	1760	2100
3	2080	2028	2060	2070	2100	1870	1815	2010	1780	2100
4	2100	1965	2110	1970	1950	1850	1861	1960	1780	2000
5	2100	2000	2080	1900	1960	1870	1865	1970	1780	2100
Mean	2088	1995	2076	2024	1968	1868	1857	1972	1774	2060
Median	2090	2000	2080	2070	1950	1870	1861	1970	1780	2100
Std.Dev.	13	28	23	86	80	15	26	23	9	55
Rel.Std.Dev.	0.62%	1.43%	1.11%	4.23%	4.09%	0.79%	1.40%	1.16%	0.50%	2.66%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	5.99%	1.28%	5.38%	2.74%	-0.10%	-5.17%	-5.74%	0.11%	-9.95%	4.57%