

ORE RESEARCH & EXPLORATION PTY LTD

6-8 Gatwick Drive, Bayswater North, Vic 3153 AUSTRALIA Telephone: 61-3-9729 0333 Facsimile: 61-3-9729 4777

#### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS FOR

# **GOLD ORE REFERENCE MATERIAL**

### OREAS 15h

## SUMMARY STATISTICS

Constituent	Recommended Value	1SD	
Gold, Au (ppm)	1.019	0.025	

Prepared by: Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd January 2009

REPORT 08-790\_15h

## INTRODUCTION

OREAS reference materials (RM) are intended to provide a low cost method of evaluating and improving the quality of precious and base metal analysis of geological samples. To the explorationist, they provide an important control in analytical data sets related to exploration from the grass roots level through to resource definition. To the mine geologist, they provide a tool for grade control in routine mining operations. To the analyst, they provide an effective means of calibrating analytical equipment, assessing new techniques and routinely monitoring in-house procedures.

### SOURCE MATERIALS

Reference material OREAS 15h was prepared from a blend of barren alkali olivine basalt from Epping, Victoria, Australia and gold-bearing Magdala ore from the Stawell Gold Mine, west-central Victoria, Australia. The Magdala lode is intimately associated with an intensely deformed package of volcanogenic sedimentary rocks. Mineralisation in the ore consists of a quartz-sericite-carbonate schist assemblage containing the sulphides pyrite and arsenopyrite. The major constituents of the alkali olivine basalt are feldspar, augite, olivine and titanomagnetite.

The approximate major and trace element composition of this sulphide-bearing gold ore standard OREAS 15h is given in Table 1. The constituents  $SiO_2$  to Total are the means of duplicate XRF analyses determined using a borate fusion method, S and C are means of duplicate IR combustion furnace analyses, while the remaining constituents, Ag to Zr, are means of duplicate analyses determined by 4-acid digestion with ICP-MS finish.

Gold homogeneity has been evaluated and confirmed by INAA on twenty 0.5 gram sample portions and by a nested ANOVA program using conventional fire assay. The tolerance interval is determined from the INAA data while the recommended value and confidence interval are based on a round robin program incorporating a total of 122 analyses at 17 laboratories.

### COMMINUTION AND HOMOGENISATION PROCEDURES

The gold-bearing basaltic material comprising OREAS 15h was prepared in the following manner:

- a) jaw crushing to minus 3mm
- b) drying to constant mass at  $105^{\circ}$ C
- c) milling of the barren material to 98% minus 75 micron
- d) milling of the gold–bearing material to 100% minus 20 micron
- e) blending in appropriate proportions to achieve the desired grade
- f) bagging into 25kg sublots
- g) packaging into 60g units in laminated foil pouches and 1kg units in plastic jars

# ANALYSIS OF OREAS 15h

Seventeen laboratories participated in the analytical program and are listed in the section headed 'Participating Laboratories'. To maintain anonymity laboratories have been randomly designated the letter codes A through Q. Each laboratory received two scoop-split 120 gram subsamples from each of three 1kg test units taken at regular intervals during the bagging

stage. They were instructed to carry out one 30-50 gram fire assay gold determination with new pots on each subsample. The nested design of the interlaboratory programme is amenable to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and enables a comparative assessment of withinand between-unit homogeneity (see 'ANOVA study' section).

Constituent	wt.%	Constituent	ppm	Constituent	ppm	Constituent	ppm
SiO <sub>2</sub>	49.8	Ag	<0.5	Gd	6	Sb	0.6
TiO <sub>2</sub>	1.73	As	379	Hf	4.2	Sc	17.5
$AI_2O_3$	13.7	Ba	344	Но	0.93	Sm	5.30
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	12.9	Be	1.4	In	0.06	Sn	2
MnO	0.22	Bi	<0.1	La	18.5	Sr	382
MgO	6.98	Cd	<0.5	Li	9.5	Та	1.1
CaO	8.18	Ce	40.4	Lu	0.26	Tb	0.9
Na <sub>2</sub> O	2.89	Co	45	Мо	4	Те	<0.2
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.87	Cs	1.5	Nb	21.8	Th	3.35
$P_2O_5$	0.384	Cu	72	Nd	22.6	U	1.0
LOI	0.83	Dy	5.08	Ni	157	W	1
Total	100.20	Er	2.43	Pb	6	Y	22.8
С	0.18	Eu	1.95	Pr	5.10	Yb	1.93
S	0.66	Ga	18.6	Rb	21.5	Zn	113
						Zr	169

Table 1. Approximate major and trace element composition of gold-bearing reference material OREAS 15h; wt.% - weight percent; ppm - parts per million.

For the determination of a statistical tolerance interval, a 10 gram scoop split was taken from each of the twenty test units and submitted to 'Lab A' for gold assay via instrumental neutron activation analysis on a reduced analytical subsample weight of 0.5 grams.

Individual assay results for the fire assay and INAA methods are presented in Tables 2 and 3 together with the mean, median, standard deviations (absolute and relative) and percent deviation of the lab mean from the corrected mean of means for each data set (PDM<sup>3</sup>). Interlaboratory agreement of the means is good with all labs lying within 7% relative of the corrected mean of means of 1.019 ppm Au.

### STATISTICAL EVALUATION OF ANALYTICAL DATA FOR OREAS 15h

#### **Recommended Value and Confidence Limits**

The recommended value was determined from the mean of means of accepted replicate values of accepted laboratory data sets A to Q according to the formulae

$$\overline{x_{i}} = \frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} x_{ij}$$

$$\dot{x} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \overline{x_{i}}$$

where

 $x_{ij}$  is the jth result reported by laboratory i; p is the number of participating laboratories;  $n_i$  is the number of results reported by laboratory i;  $\overline{x}_i$  is the mean for laboratory i;  $\ddot{x}$  is the mean of means.

The confidence limits were obtained by calculation of the variance of the consensus value (mean of means) and reference to Student's-t distribution with degrees of freedom (p-1):

$$\hat{V}(\ddot{x}) = \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{p} (\overline{x}_i - \ddot{x})^2$$
Confidence limits =  $\ddot{x} \pm t_{1-x/2} (p-1) (\hat{V}(\ddot{x}))^{1/2}$ 

where  $t_{1-x/2}(p-1)$  is the 1-x/2 fractile of the t-distribution with (p-1) degrees of freedom.

The distribution of the values is assumed to be symmetrical about the mean in the calculation of the confidence limits.

The test for rejection of individual outliers from each laboratory data set was based on *z* scores (rejected if  $|z_i| > 2.5$ ) computed from the robust estimators of location and scale, *T* and *S*, respectively, according to the formulae

$$S = 1.483 \text{ median } / x_j - \text{median } (x_i) / z_i = \frac{x_i - T}{S}$$

where

*T* is the median value in a data set;

*S* is the median of all absolute deviations from the sample median multiplied by 1.483, a correction factor to make the estimator consistent with the usual parameter of a normal distribution.

The z-score test is used in combination with a second method of individual outlier detection that determines the percent deviation of the individual value from the median. Outliers in general are selected on the basis of z-scores > 2.5 and with percent deviations > 1.5%. In certain instances statistician's prerogative has been employed in discriminating outliers.

Each laboratory data set is tested for outlying status based on z-score discrimination and rejected if  $|z_i| > 2.5$ . After individual and entire lab data set outliers have been eliminated a non-iterative 3 standard deviation filter is applied, with those values lying outside this window also relegated to outlying status.

Individual outliers and, more rarely, laboratory means deemed to be outlying are shown left justified and in bold in the tabulated results (Tables 2 and 3) and have been omitted in the determination of recommended values.

The magnitude of the confidence interval is inversely proportional to the number of participating laboratories and interlaboratory agreement. It is a measure of the reliability of the recommended value, i.e. the narrower the confidence interval the greater the certainty in the recommended value.

Table 2. Analytical results for gold in OREAS 15h (FA - fire assay; AAS - flame atomic absorption spectrometry; OES - inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry; Std.Dev. - one sigma standard deviation; Rel.Std.Dev. - one sigma relative standard deviation; PDM<sup>3</sup> - percent deviation of lab mean from corrected mean of means; outliers in bold and left justified; sample charge weights shown in row 3; values in ppm).

<u> </u>				· · · /					
	Lab A	Lab B	Lab C	Lab D	Lab E	Lab F	Lab G	Lab H	Lab I
Replicate	FA*AAS	FA*OES	FA*AAS	FA*AAS	FA*AAS	FA*OES	FA*AAS	FA*OES	FA*AAS
No.	30g	30g	50g	50g	30g	50g	50g	40g	30g
1	1.020	1.020	1.050	1.040	1.030	0.924	0.981	1.010	1.000
2	1.030	1.005	1.040	1.040	0.990	0.899	0.992	0.999	1.040
3	1.040	1.010	1.000	1.020	0.980	0.937	1.004	1.020	1.090
4	1.030	0.993	1.010	1.030	1.030	0.997	0.999	1.010	0.990
5	1.040	1.060	1.030	1.000	1.030	0.995	1.029	0.989	0.930
6	1.030	1.050	1.020	1.010	1.030	1.047	1.034	0.989	1.010
Mean	1.032	1.023	1.025	1.023	1.015	0.967	1.006	1.003	1.010
Median	1.030	1.015	1.025	1.025	1.030	0.966	1.001	1.005	1.005
Std.Dev.	0.008	0.026	0.019	0.016	0.023	0.056	0.021	0.013	0.053
Rel.Std.Dev.	0.73%	2.59%	1.83%	1.60%	2.31%	5.75%	2.09%	1.26%	5.28%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	1.24%	0.39%	0.59%	0.42%	-0.40%	-5.15%	-1.24%	-1.59%	-0.89%

Table 2. Co	ontinued
-------------	----------

-						-		-
	Lab J	Lab K	Lab L	Lab M	Lab N	Lab O	Lab P	Lab Q
Replicate	FA*AAS							
No.	30g	30g	30g	30g	30g	30g	40g	30g
1	1.043	1.010	0.968	1.005	1.011	1.030	1.009	0.940
2	1.048	1.010	0.969	1.010	1.016	1.040	1.019	0.970
3	1.039	1.015	0.949	0.995	1.006	1.050	1.037	0.960
4	1.028	1.000	1.015	1.005	1.016	1.030	1.014	0.930
5	1.025	1.000	0.984	1.005	1.006	1.020	1.034	0.940
6	1.042	1.015	0.985	1.005	1.003	1.040	0.998	0.960
Mean	1.038	1.008	0.978	1.004	1.010	1.035	1.018	0.950
Median	1.041	1.010	0.977	1.005	1.009	1.035	1.016	0.950
Std.Dev.	0.009	0.007	0.022	0.005	0.006	0.010	0.015	0.015
Rel.Std.Dev.	0.87%	0.68%	2.27%	0.49%	0.55%	1.01%	1.48%	1.63%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	1.81%	-1.05%	-3.99%	-1.46%	-0.92%	1.57%	-0.06%	-6.77%

Table 3.	Analytical	results	for	gold in	OREAS	15h by	INAA	(instrumental	neutron	activation
analysis	on 0.5 grar	n analyti	cal	subsam	ple weigh	its; other	<u>abbre</u>	viations as for	Table 2)	

Replicate	Lab A		
No.	INAA		
1	1.090		
2	1.070		
3	1.060		
4	1.050		
5	1.080		
6	1.060		
7	1.050		
8	1.090		
9	1.060		
10	1.050		
11	1.100		
12	1.070		
13	1.050		
14	1.080		
15	1.080		
16	1.060		
17	1.070		
18	1.090		
19	1.090		
20	1.070		
Mean	1.071		
Median	1.070		
Std.Dev.	0.016		
Rel.Std.Dev.	1.48%		
PDM <sup>3</sup>	5.10%		

© Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd

Constituent	Recommended	95% Confidence Interval				
	Value	Low	High			
Gold, Au (ppm)	1.019	1.012	1.026			

Table 4. Recommended Value and 95% Confidence Interval

#### **Statement of Homogeneity**

The variability of replicate assays from each laboratory is a result of both measurement and subsampling errors. In the determination of a statistical tolerance interval it is therefore necessary to eliminate, or at least substantially minimise, those errors attributable to measurement. One way of achieving this is by substantially reducing the analytical subsample weight to a point where most of the variability in replicate assays is due to inhomogeneity of the reference material and measurement error becomes negligible. This approach was adopted in the INAA data set (Table 3) where a 0.5 gram subsample weight was employed.

The homogeneity was determined from tables of factors for two-sided tolerance limits for normal distributions (ISO Guide 3207) in which

Lower limit is  $\ddot{x} - k'_2(n, p, 1-\alpha)s$ Upper limit is  $\ddot{x} + k'_2(n, p, 1-\alpha)s$ 

where

n is the number of results reported by laboratory Q;  $l - \alpha$  is the confidence level; p is the proportion of results expected within the tolerance limits;  $k'_2$  is the factor for two-sided tolerance limits (m,  $\sigma$  unknown);

and s is computed according to the formula

$$s = \left[\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(x_j - \overline{x}\right)^2}{n-1}\right]^{1/2}$$

No individual outliers were removed from the results prior to the calculation of tolerance intervals.

Constituent	Recommended Value		e Interval 9, ρ=0.95
		Low	High
Gold, Au (ppm)	1.019	1.014	1.024

Table 5. Recommended Value and Tolerance Interval.

From the INAA data set an estimated tolerance interval of  $\pm 0.007$  ppm at an analytical subsample weight of 50 gram was obtained (using the sampling constant relationship of Ingamells and Switzer, 1973) and is considered to reflect the actual homogeneity of the material under test. The meaning of this tolerance interval may be illustrated for gold (refer Table 5), where 99% of the time at least 95% of 50g-sized subsamples will have concentrations lying between 1.014 and 1.024 ppm. Put more precisely, this means that if the same number of subsamples were taken and analysed in the same manner repeatedly, 99% of the tolerance intervals so constructed would cover at least 95% of the total population, and 1% of the tolerance intervals would cover less than 95% of the total population (ISO Guide 35).

#### **ANOVA Study**

The sampling format for OREAS 15h was structured to enable nested ANOVA treatment of the round robin results. During the bagging stage immediately following final homogenization, samples were taken at 20 intervals representative of the entire batch of OREAS 15h. All labs participated in the ANOVA study (Labs A to Q) where each received paired samples of three different, non-adjacent, sampling units. For example, the six samples that any one of the eight participating labs could have received is:

- Sample 1 (from sampling interval 1)
- Sample 2 (from sampling interval 6)
- Sample 3 (from sampling interval 11)
- Sample 4 (from sampling interval 1)
- Sample 5 (from sampling interval 6)
- Sample 6 (from sampling interval 11)

The purpose of the ANOVA investigation was to compare the within-unit variance with that of the between-unit variance. This approach permitted an assessment of homogeneity across the entire batch of OREAS 15h. The test was performed using the following parameters:

- Significance Level  $\alpha$  = P (type I error) = 0.05
- Null Hypothesis, H<sub>0</sub>: Between-unit variance is no greater than within-unit variance (reject H<sub>0</sub> if p-value < 0.05)</li>
- Alternative Hypothesis, H<sub>1</sub>: Between-unit variance is greater than within-unit variance

P-values are a measure of probability whereby values less than 0.05 indicate a greater than 95% probability that the observed differences in within-unit and between-unit variances are real. The dataset was filtered for both individual and laboratory outliers prior to calculation of the p-value. This derived a p-value of 0.95 and indicates no evidence that between-unit variance is greater than within-unit variance. Conclusion: do not reject H<sub>0</sub>. Note that ANOVA is not an absolute measure of homogeneity. Rather, it establishes that gold is uniformly distributed throughout OREAS 15h and that the variance between two subsamples from the same unit is identical to the variance from two subsamples taken from any two separate units.

#### Performance Gates

Performance gates provide an indication of a level of performance that might reasonably be expected for a particular analyte from a laboratory being monitored by this standard in a QA/QC program. They incorporate errors attributable to measurement (analytical bias and precision) and standard variability. For an effective standard the contribution of the latter should be negligible in comparison to measurement errors. Two methods have been employed to calculate performance gates. The first method uses the standard deviation of the pooled individual analyses generated from the certification program after removal of all individual and lab dataset (batch) outliers as well as application of a non-iterative 3

standard deviation filter. These outliers can only be removed if they can be confidently deemed to be analytical rather than arising from inhomogeneity of the CRM. Performance gates have been calculated for one, two and three standard deviations of the accepted pool of certification data and are presented in Table 6. As a guide these intervals may be regarded as informational (1SD), warning or rejection for multiple outliers (2SD), or rejection for individual outliers (3SD) in QC monitoring although their precise application should be at the discretion of the QC manager concerned. It is important to note that performance gates calculated from a single submission round robin, as in the present case, do not take reproducibility errors (batch-to-batch bias) into consideration and will accordingly be more constrained than those incorporating a temporal dimension.

For the second method a simple  $\pm 5\%$  error bar on the certified value is used as the window of acceptability (refer Table 6). Both methods should be used with caution when concentration levels approach lower limits of detection of the analytical methods employed, as performance gates calculated from standard deviations tend to be excessively wide whereas those determined by the 5% method are too narrow.

Table 6. F	Performance	Gates for	OREAS 15h
------------	-------------	-----------	-----------

Constituent	Certified	Certified Absolute Standard Deviations Relative Standard Deviations					5% w	indow			
	Value	1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
Au (ppm)	1.019	0.025	0.970	1.068	0.945	1.093	2.42%	4.83%	7.25%	0.968	1.070

Note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding

# **PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES**

Acme Analytical Laboratories Ltd, Vancouver, BC, Canada Activation Laboratories, Ancaster, ONtario, Canada Amdel Laboratories Ltd, Thebarton, SA, Australia ALS Chemex, Townsville, QLD, Australia ALS Chemex, La Serena, Chile, South America ALS Chemex, Sparks, Nevada, USA ALS Chemex, Perth, WA, Australia ALS Chemex, Val-d'or, Quebec, Canada ALS Chemex, Vancouver, BC, Canada Genalysis Laboratory Services Pty Ltd, Perth, WA, Australia Intertek Testing Services, Jakarta, Indonesia McPhar Laboratories, Legaspi Village, Makati City, Philippines OMAC Laboratories Ltd, Loughrea, County Galway, Ireland SGS Lakefield Research Ltd, Lakefield, ON, Canada SGS, Townsville, QLD, Australia SGS Australia, Perth, WA, Australia Ultra Trace Pty Ltd, Perth, WA, Australia

# PREPARER AND SUPPLIER OF THE REFERENCE MATERIAL

The gold ore reference material, OREAS 15h has been prepared and certified and is supplied by:

Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd 6-8 Gatwick Road Bayswater North, VIC 3153 AUSTRALIA

Telephone	(03) 9729 0333	International	+613-9729 0333
Facsimile	(03) 9729 4777	International	+613-9729 4777

It is available in unit sizes of 60g foil packets and 1kg plastic jars.

## INTENDED USE

OREAS 15h is a reference material intended for the following:

- i) for the monitoring of laboratory performance in the analysis of gold in geological samples;
- ii) for the calibration of instruments used in the determination of the concentration of gold;
- iii) for the verification of analytical methods for gold;
- iv) for the preparation of secondary reference materials of similar composition;

# STABILITY AND STORAGE INSTRUCTIONS

OREAS 15h has been prepared from gold ore diluted with barren alkali olivine basalt. The CRM is considered to have long-term stability under normal storage conditions.

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE CORRECT USE OF THE REFERENCE MATERIAL

The certified value for OREAS 15h refers to the concentration level of Au after removal of hygroscopic moisture by drying in air to constant mass at 105<sup>0</sup> C. If the reference material is not dried by the user prior to analysis, the certified values should be corrected to the moisture-bearing basis.

## LEGAL NOTICE

Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd has prepared and statistically evaluated the property values of this reference material to the best of its ability. The Purchaser by receipt hereof releases and indemnifies Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd from and against all liability and costs arising from the use of this material and information.

# **CERTIFYING OFFICER**

Craig Hamlyn (B.Sc. Hons - Geology)

### REFERENCES

Ingamells, C. O. and Switzer, P. (1973), Talanta 20, 547-568.

ISO Guide 35 (1985), Certification of reference materials - General and statistical principals.

ISO Guide 35 (2006), Rference materials - General and statistical principals for certification.

ISO Guide 3207 (1975), Statistical interpretation of data - Determination of a statistical tolerance interval.